

Improved Monotone Circuit Depth Upper Bound for Directed Graph Reachability

S. A. Volkov
(Moscow, 2008)

Abstract

We prove that the directed graph reachability problem can be solved by monotone fan-in 2 boolean circuits of depth $(1/2 + o(1))(\log_2 n)^2$, where n is the number of nodes. This improves the previous known upper bound $(1 + o(1))(\log_2 n)^2$. The proof is non-constructive, but we give a constructive proof of the upper bound $(7/8 + o(1))(\log_2 n)^2$.

Definitions

By $[x]$ denote the floor of x .

For real-value functions f, g we will write $f \asymp g$, if $f = O(g)$, and $g = O(f)$; we will write $f \sim g$, if $\lim(f/g) = 1$.

By $G(g_{11}, g_{12}, \dots, g_{1n}, \dots, g_{n1}, g_{n2}, \dots, g_{nn})$ denote the directed graph such that the set of vertices of this graph is $\{1, \dots, n\}$, and the adjacency matrix of this graph is $\{g_{ij}\}$.

By $V(G)$ denote the set of vertices of the graph G . Similarly, by $E(G)$ denote the set of edges of the graph G .

We say that the path p has *length* l , if p has l edges.

The graph G' is called *l -closure* of the graph G , if $V(G') = V(G)$, and

$$E(G') = \{(i, j) : G \text{ has a path from } i \text{ to } j \text{ with length } \leq l\}.$$

Suppose G is a graph, $S \subseteq V(G)$; by G_S denote the graph such that $V(G_S) = S$, $E(G_S) = \{(i, j) \in E(G) : i, j \in S\}$.

In the following definitions G is an abbreviated notation for $G(g_{11}, \dots, g_{nn})$.

Put

$$\text{Reach}_n(g_{11}, \dots, g_{nn}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if the graph } G \text{ has a path from } 1 \text{ to } n, \\ 0 & \text{in the other case,} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Reach}_{n,l}(g_{11}, \dots, g_{nn}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if the graph } G \text{ has a path from } 1 \\ & \text{to } n \text{ with length } l, \\ 0 & \text{in the other case,} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Reach}_{n,l}^p(g_{11}, \dots, g_{nn}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if the graph } G \text{ has a path from } 1 \text{ to } n \\ & \text{with length } \leq l, \\ 0, & \text{if there is no path from } 1 \text{ to } n \text{ in the graph } G, \\ \text{undefined} & \text{in the other cases.} \end{cases}$$

In this paper we consider boolean monotone circuits with fan-in 2, i.e., circuits over the basis $\{x \& y, x \vee y\}$.

By $d(\Sigma)$ denote the depth of the circuit Σ .

The ordered family of sets $(S_1, \dots, S_m)$ is called an (n, m, s, l, d) -family, where n, m, s, l, d are natural numbers, if the following conditions hold:

1. $|\bigcup_{i=1}^m S_i| \leq n$;
2. for any i we have $|S_i| \leq s$;
3. For any $A \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $|A| \geq \frac{md}{l}$, we have $|\bigcup_{i \in A} S_i| \geq n - d + 1$.

Order is needed for calculation convenience only (in the proof of statement 4).

Suppose $M = (S_1, \dots, S_m)$ is a family of sets; by $\bigcup M$ denote the set $|\bigcup_{i=1}^m S_i|$.

Proof of the upper bound

Statement 1. *There exists a family of monotone circuits $\Sigma_{n,l}$ such that for any n, l , $\Sigma_{n,l}$ realizes $\text{Reach}_{n,l}$, and*

$$d(\Sigma_{n,l}) = \log_2 n \cdot \log_2 l + O(\log n).$$

Доказательство. By repeated matrix squaring. □

Statement 2. *Let M be an (n, m, s, l, d) -family, $A_0, \dots, A_{l'}$ ($l' \leq l$) be different elements of $\bigcup M$; then there exists a set $S \in M$ and numbers $k \leq \frac{l'}{d}$, $0 = i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_k = l'$ such that $A_{i_1}, \dots, A_{i_{k-1}} \in S$, and for any j ($0 \leq j < k$) we have $i_{j+1} - i_j \leq 2d$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $l' \geq 2d + 1$. Suppose $k = \lceil \frac{l'}{d} \rceil$, $B_i = \{A_{id}, A_{id+1}, \dots, A_{id+d-1}\}$, $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. We now prove that there exists $S \in M$ such that for any i ($1 \leq i \leq k-1$) we have $S \cap B_i \neq \emptyset$. Assume the converse. Then for any set B_i there exists an $S \in M$ such that $S \cap B_i = \emptyset$. Then there exists a set B_i such that there exists at least $\frac{m}{k-1} \geq \frac{md}{l}$ sets $S \in M$ such that $S \cap B_i = \emptyset$. Since $|B_i| = d$, we see that the cardinality of the union of these sets S does not exceed $n - d$. This contradicts the definition of an (n, m, s, l, d) -family.

Take the set $S \in M$ such that for any B_j we have $S \cap B_j \neq \emptyset$. Also, take $i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}$ such that $A_{i_j} \in B_j \cap S$ ($1 \leq j \leq k-1$). Put $i_0 = 0$, $i_k = l'$. Obviously, the numbers $i_0, \dots, i_k$ satisfy the conditions of the statement. □

Statement 3. *Let M be an (n, m, s, l, d) -family; suppose a monotone circuit Σ' realizes $\text{Reach}_{s+2, \lceil \frac{l}{d} \rceil}^p$; then there exists a monotone circuit Σ such that Σ realizes $\text{Reach}_{n,l}^p$, and*

$$d(\Sigma) = \log_2 m + \log_2 n \cdot \log_2 d + d(\Sigma') + f(n)$$

for some fixed function $f(n) = O(\log n)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that all sets of the family M are subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Adding 1 and n to each set of M , we obtain the $(n, m, s+2, l, d)$ -family M' .

Now we describe a construction of the circuit Σ . Let G be an input graph. Suppose G' is the $2d$ -closure of the graph G . From statement 1 it follows that the adjacency matrix of G' can be obtained by a monotone circuit of depth $\log_2 n \cdot \log_2 d + O(\log n)$.

For each set $S \in M'$ we construct a block Σ_S which is a clone of the circuit Σ' ; it takes the adjacency matrix of G'_S to its input (Σ_S determines the existence of a needed path in G'_S from the vertex 1 to the vertex n); if $|V(G'_S)| < s$, then the input matrix of Σ_S is expanded by zeros.

The disjunction of all outputs of the circuits Σ_S , $S \in M'$ is declared to be the output of Σ .

It's clear that $d(\Sigma)$ satisfies the condition of this statement. Also, it's obvious that Σ is monotone. Let us prove that Σ realizes $\text{Reach}_{n,l}^p$.

Suppose G does not have a path from 1 to n ; in this case G' does not have a path from 1 to n ; therefore, all blocks Σ_S , $S \in M'$ produce 0 to their outputs; thus, Σ produces 0.

Suppose G has a path from 1 to n of length $\leq l$; let $A_0 A_1 \dots A_{l'}$ be the shortest of these paths; from the statement 2 it follows that there exists a set $S \in M'$ and numbers $k \leq \frac{l}{d}$, $0 = i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_k = l'$ such that $A_{i_1}, \dots, A_{i_{k-1}} \in S$ and for any j ($0 \leq j < k$) we have $i_{j+1} - i_j \leq 2d$; also, by construction, $A_{i_0}, A_{i_k} \in S$; from this it follows that G' has a path $A_{i_0} A_{i_1} \dots A_{i_k}$; note that the length of that path does not exceed $\lceil \frac{l}{d} \rceil$, and all vertexes of that path are in S ; it follows that Σ_S produces 1 to its output. Therefore, Σ produces 1 to its output. $\square$

Statement 4. *If the natural numbers n, m, s, l, d , $d \leq n$ satisfy the condition*

$$\frac{dm \ln m}{l} + d \ln n - \frac{sm d^2}{nl} < 0,$$

then there exists an (n, m, s, l, d) -family.

Proof. Consider matrixes $\{a_{ij}\}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq s$, $1 \leq a_{ij} \leq n$. To each matrix $\{a_{ij}\}$ assign the ordered family of sets $(\{a_{11}, \dots, a_{1s}\}, \dots, \{a_{m1}, \dots, a_{ms}\})$.

Suppose $M \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$, $D \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$; then we say that the matrix $\{a_{ij}\}$ is an (M, D) -matrix, if for any $i \in M$, $1 \leq j \leq s$ we have $a_{ij} \notin D$. It's clear that for any matrix $\{a_{ij}\}$ the following statement is satisfied: the family of sets corresponding to $\{a_{ij}\}$ is an (n, m, s, l, d) -family if and only if for any M, D such that $|M| = \lceil md/l \rceil$, $|D| = d$, the matrix $\{a_{ij}\}$ is not an (M, D) -matrix.

Now we estimate the probability of the event that for the matrix $\{a_{ij}\}$ there exist sets M , D , $|M| = \lceil md/l \rceil$, $|D| = d$ such that $\{a_{ij}\}$ is an (M, D) -matrix. The number of ways to select M does not exceed $m^{\lceil md/l \rceil}$; the number of ways to select D does not exceed n^d ; the probability of the event that the matrix $\{a_{ij}\}$ is an (M, D) -matrix (for fixed M , D)

does not exceed $(1 - \frac{d}{n})^{s \lceil md/l \rceil}$; therefore, the estimated probability does not exceed

$$\begin{aligned} n^d \cdot m^{\lceil md/l \rceil} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{d}{n}\right)^{s \lceil md/l \rceil} &= n^d \cdot \left(m \left(\left(1 - \frac{d}{n}\right)^{n/d}\right)^{sd/n}\right)^{\lceil md/l \rceil} \leq \\ &\leq n^d \cdot (m \cdot \exp(-sd/n))^{\lceil md/l \rceil} \leq n^d \cdot (m \cdot \exp(-sd/n))^{md/l} = \exp\left(\frac{dm \ln m}{l} + d \ln n - \frac{sm d^2}{nl}\right) < 1. \end{aligned}$$

The next to last inequality follows from

$$\frac{dm \ln m}{l} - \frac{sm d^2}{nl} < 0,$$

i.e., $m \cdot \exp(-sd/n) < 1$.

□

Corollary. If $m = n$, $l < n$, $s > \frac{2n \ln n}{d}$, $d \leq n$, then there exists an (n, m, s, l, d) -family.

Proof. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dm \ln m}{l} + d \ln n - \frac{sm d^2}{nl} &= \frac{md}{l} \left(\ln m - \frac{sd}{n}\right) + d \ln n = \frac{nd}{l} \left(\ln n - \frac{sd}{n}\right) + d \ln n < \\ &< \frac{nd}{l} \cdot (-\ln n) + d \ln n = \left(1 - \frac{n}{l}\right) d \ln n < 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 1. There exists a family of monotone circuits $\Sigma_{n,l}$ such that for any n, l , $\Sigma_{n,l}$ realizes $\text{Reach}_{n,l}^p$, and

$$d(\Sigma_{n,l}) = \log_2 n \cdot \log_2 l - \frac{1}{2}(\log_2 l)^2 + o((\log n)^2).$$

Proof. Now we describe the construction of the circuit $\Sigma_{n,l}$ by given n, l . Without loss of generality we can assume that $l < n$. Put

$$d = \left\lceil 2\sqrt{\log_2 n} \right\rceil, \quad k = \lceil \log_d l \rceil, \quad q = 2 \ln n + 3, \quad m = n.$$

Now we construct the monotone circuits $\Sigma_k, \Sigma_{k-1}, \dots, \Sigma_0$ such that Σ_i realizes $\text{Reach}_{n_i, l_i}^p$ ($0 \leq i \leq k$), and

$$l_i = \left\lceil \frac{l}{d^i} \right\rceil, \quad n_i = \left\lceil \frac{n q^i}{d^i} \right\rceil$$

($0 \leq i \leq k$).

The circuit Σ_k can be constructed using statement 1, i.e.

$$d(\Sigma_k) = \log_2 n_k \cdot \log_2 l_k + O(\log n) = O(\log n \cdot \log d) = o((\log n)^2).$$

Now we describe the construction of Σ_i ($i < k$) under assumption that Σ_{i+1} is already constructed. Let us prove that for any $i < k$ there exists an $(n_i, n_i, n_{i+1} - 2, l_i, d)$ -family. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} n_{i+1} - 2 &= \left\lceil \frac{nq^{i+1}}{d^{i+1}} \right\rceil - 2 > \frac{nq^{i+1}}{d^{i+1}} - 3 = \frac{nq^{i+1} - 3d^{i+1}}{d^{i+1}} \geq \frac{nq^{i+1} - 3nq^i}{d^{i+1}} = \frac{nq^i(q-3)}{d^{i+1}} = \\ &= \frac{2 \ln n}{d} \cdot \frac{nq^i}{d^i} \geq \frac{2 \ln n}{d} \cdot \left\lceil \frac{nq^i}{d^i} \right\rceil = \frac{2 \ln n}{d} \cdot n_i; \end{aligned}$$

this and the corollary of statement 4 prove an existence of the family of sets.

From statement 3 and an existence of a family it follows that we can construct Σ_i such that

$$d(\Sigma_i) = d(\Sigma_{i+1}) + \log_2 n_i \log_2 d + O(\log n).$$

Therefore, taking into account $\Sigma_{n,l} = \Sigma_0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(\Sigma) &= \log_2 d \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \log_2 n_i + o((\log n)^2) = \log_2 d \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (\log_2 n + i \log_2 q - i \log_2 d) + o((\log n)^2) = \\ &= k \log_2 d \log_2 n + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} (\log_2 q - \log_2 d) \log_2 d + o((\log n)^2) = \\ &= \log_2 l \cdot \log_2 n + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\log_2 l}{\log_2 d} \right)^2 (\log_2 q - \log_2 d) \log_2 d + o((\log n)^2) = \\ &= \log_2 l \log_2 n - \frac{1}{2} (\log_2 l)^2 \left(1 - \frac{\log_2 q}{\log_2 d} \right) + o((\log n)^2) = \log_2 n \log_2 l - \frac{1}{2} (\log_2 l)^2 + o((\log n)^2). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary. *There exists a sequence of monotone circuits Σ_n such that for any n , Σ_n realizes Reach_n , and*

$$d(\Sigma_n) \sim \frac{1}{2} (\log_2 n)^2.$$

An explicit construction

Now we describe an explicit construction that proves the upper bound $\frac{7}{8} (\log_2 n)^2$.

Take a prime q . Suppose $Q = \text{GF}(q)$.

The set $\{(x, y) : x, y \in Q\}$ is called the *plane*.

Elements of the plane are called *points*.

For any $a, b, c \in Q$ such that $a \neq 0$ or $b \neq 0$, the set $\{(x, y) : x, y \in Q, ax + by + c = 0\}$ is called a *line*.

Statement 5. *Suppose $\bar{s}_1, \dots, \bar{s}_l$ are distinct lines, $|\bigcup_{i=1}^l \bar{s}_i| = q^2 - u$; then we have*

$$l \leq \frac{(q+1)(q^2 - u)}{u + q}.$$

Proof. Now we give some additional definitions. Two different lines are said to be *parallel*, if they don't intersect. By $\text{dir}(\bar{l})$ we denote the set of all lines $\bar{l}'$ such that $\bar{l}$ parallel to $\bar{l}'$. The set $\text{dir}(\bar{l})$ is called the *direction* of the line $\bar{l}$. Note that the number of points in the plane is q^2 , the number of lines in the plane is $q(q+1)$, the number of directions is $q+1$. The set of all directions is denoted by $\tilde{D}$.

Let $\bar{L}$ be the considered set of lines ($|\bar{L}| = l$), let $\bar{V}$ be the complement of $\bar{L}$ to the set of all lines in the plane ($|\bar{V}| = v = q(q+1) - l$). Suppose $P = \bigcup_{\bar{l} \in \bar{L}} \bar{l}$, U is the complement of P to the plane ($|P| = p = q^2 - u$, $|U| = u$).

For any line $\bar{l}$ and set of points U by $U_{\bar{l}}$ denote the set $U \cap \bar{l}$; put $u_{\bar{l}} = |U_{\bar{l}}|$. For any direction $\tilde{d}$ and set of lines $\bar{V}$ by $\bar{V}_{\tilde{d}}$ denote the set of all lines $\bar{l}$ such that $\bar{l} \in \bar{V}$ and $\text{dir}(\bar{l}) = \tilde{d}$; put $v_{\tilde{d}} = |\bar{V}_{\tilde{d}}|$.

By R denote the set of all unordered pairs of points $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ such that $\alpha, \beta \in U$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$. Analogously, for any line $\bar{l}$ by $R_{\bar{l}}$ denote the set of all unordered pairs of points $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ such that $\alpha, \beta \in U_{\bar{l}}$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$.

Note that for any line $\bar{l}$ such that $\bar{l} \cap U \neq \emptyset$, we have $\bar{l} \in \bar{V}$; also, note that for any two different points there exists a unique line that contains these points. From these facts it follows that the set $\{R_{\bar{l}} : \bar{l} \in \bar{V}\}$ is a partition of R . Therefore,

$$|R| = \sum_{\bar{l} \in \bar{V}} |R_{\bar{l}}|. \quad (1)$$

Analogously, we see that for any direction $\tilde{d}$ the set $\{U_{\bar{l}} : \bar{l} \in \bar{V}_{\tilde{d}}\}$ is a partition of the set U . Hence,

$$\sum_{\bar{l} \in \bar{V}_{\tilde{d}}} u_{\bar{l}} = u. \quad (2)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{u(u-1)}{2} &= |R| = \sum_{\bar{l} \in \bar{V}} |R_{\bar{l}}| = \sum_{\tilde{d} \in \tilde{D}} \sum_{\bar{l} \in \bar{V}_{\tilde{d}}} |R_{\bar{l}}| = \sum_{\tilde{d} \in \tilde{D}} \sum_{\bar{l} \in \bar{V}_{\tilde{d}}} \frac{u_{\bar{l}}(u_{\bar{l}}-1)}{2} \geq \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\tilde{d} \in \tilde{D}} \left(\frac{u^2}{v_{\tilde{d}}} - u \right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(q+1)^2 u^2}{v} - u(q+1) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from (1), the first inequality follows from (2) and the arithmetic-quadratic means inequality, the second inequality follows from $\sum_{\tilde{d} \in \tilde{D}} v_{\tilde{d}} = v$ and the arithmetic-harmonic means inequality.

Solving this inequality, we get

$$v \geq \frac{u(q+1)^2}{u+q}.$$

In other words,

$$l \leq q(q+1) - \frac{u(q+1)^2}{u+q} = \frac{(q+1)(q^2-u)}{u+q}.$$

□

Now we describe an effective generation algorithm of (n, m, s, n, d) -families by n , where $m \asymp n$, $s \asymp n^{1/2}$, $d \asymp n^{3/4}$. Let q be the minimal prime such that $q^2 \geq n$ (from Bertrand's postulate it follows that $q \asymp n^{1/2}$). Suppose d is the minimal natural number such that

$$\frac{d}{q} > \frac{q^2 - d}{d + q}.$$

It's clear that $d \asymp n^{3/4}$. By PL_q denote the plane over the residual field by modulo q . From statement 5 it follows that the set (arbitrary ordered) of all lines in PL_q is a $(q^2, q(q+1), q, q^2, d)$ -family. Take some set $A \subseteq \text{PL}_q$, $|A| = n$. Then the set

$$\{\bar{l} \cap A : \bar{l} \text{ is a line in } \text{PL}_q\}$$

(arbitrary ordered) is the needed family.

Now we describe an effective generation algorithm of the monotone circuit Σ_n by n , where Σ_n realizes Reach_n , and

$$d(\Sigma_n) \sim \frac{7}{8}(\log_2 n)^2.$$

By the described algorithm we construct an (n, m, s, n, d) -family of sets, where $m \asymp n$, $s \asymp n^{1/2}$, $d \asymp n^{3/4}$. After this, using statement 1 we construct the monotone circuit Σ'_n such that Σ'_n realizes $\text{Reach}_{s+2, \lceil n/d \rceil}^p$, and $d(\Sigma'_n) \sim \frac{1}{8}(\log_2 n)^2$. In the next place, using the constructed family and statement 3 (more precisely, the proof of this statement), we obtain the needed circuit Σ_n . It's clear that this algorithm works polynomial time (of n).